

# TRAFFIC PRESUBMITTAL CONFERENCE REVIEW FORM (SCC 30.66B.020)

Snohomish County

PDS Traffic/Drainage Section (425) 388-6440

# This form, signed, completed, and less than 90 days old is required with permit application.

A permit application for the development proposal described below will not be accepted by PDS without this form signed by a County representative and the applicant within 90 days. Extensions can only be granted in advance of application submittal and may require additional review and revisions.

General Information
Project File Number: 09 108601 PS Development Name: Point Wells
Applicant: Mark Wells Paramount of Washington
Representative: Jack Molver, P.E., DEA Phone: (425) 259-4099
Development Type: CBP CU Duplex X SP Plat Rezone
Site Plan Modification X Other Urban Center
Building Size: Number of Units/Lots: Existing: Oil refinery TBR
General Location of Site: SW corner of Snohomish County
1/4 S 35 T 27 R 3 Transportation Area (TSA) F
X Inside Urban Growth Area (UGA) for City of Outside UGA
Based on information provided by the applicant likely traffic impacts have
been identified and X will will not require impact mitigation
Notes 3,500 housing units, 70,000 sq ft commercial, 15,000 sq ft retail & public park and/or a nine lot
short subdivision.
The following items are required with permit application:
X Traffic review fee of \$5,000.00 Traffic review fee not required
X Trip Generation -AM and PM Peak Hour and Average Daily Traffic (ADT)
X AM and PM Peak Hour Distribution (See Required Format for Trip Distributions)
Developer hereby voluntarily allows the scope of traffic impact analysis to be determined during review of the application.
A pre-application concurrency evaluation has been performed under PFN:
Applicant must provide mailing labels of parties of record with permit application
copies of traffic information required at submittal (or)  No traffic information required
Notes 2 copies of Synchro file
To PDS Counter Staff – Route the following materials to the Traffic/Drainage Section:
X This form X PDS Master Application - 1 copy
X Site Plan - 3 copies Targeted Drainage Plan - 2 copies
Environmental Checklist - 1 copy X TDM Plan (1 copy optional) or offer
X Traffic information - 3 copies Do not route application to the Traffic/Drainage Section
Route one copy of permit application and traffic information to:
X WSDOT Cities of: Woodway, Shoreline
Signatures and Dates
Maka Brown 1/12/11 Inde Molver 1/12/1
Spohomish County Representative Date Applicant/Representative Date Print name: Mark A. Brown Print name: Jack Molver, P.E.

Page 1 of 3

30.66B Presubmittal Form C-4213-1

Revised Effective

## TRAFFIC PRESUBMITTAL CONFERENCE REVIEW FORM (SCC 30.66B.020) Snohomish County

### Disclaimer

Revised Effective

The following information and estimated mitigation requirements represent road conditions, code and rule requirements, and information about the proposed development as of the date signed below. Any of these things may change prior to the application submittal date.

### Estimated Impact Fee: (SCC 30.66B.310)

The traffic impact fee must be paid at the time of or prior to building permit issuance for any development. Estimated new average daily trips (ADT) generated by the proposed development are:

Residential rate is \$230.00/ADT  Commercial rate is \$196.00/ADT
Impact Fee Calculation ADT X \$/ADT = \$?
The County will establish whether credits are warranted on a case-by-case basis for each development upon review of the application, but in general credits against the impact fee are warranted when the County requires developers to construct frontage improvements, donate right-of-way, or construct off-site improvements that are part of the projects in the impact fee cost basis as identified in the Transportation Needs Report.
Transportation Demand Management (TDM): (SCC 30.66B.630) All new developments inside an urban growth area boundary shall provide sufficient transportation demand management measures through approved on-site measures or by payment as calculated below: If TDM on-site measures are proposed, a separate TDM plan must be submitted with the initial application.
Estimated TDM Payment Calculation ? Peak Hour Trips X 5% X 6500 = \$?
Additional TDM may be required for impacts on arterials designated as ultimate capacity
Trip Reduction credit information provided to applicant.
Notes See traffic study
Level of Service (LOS): (SCC 30.66B.120)  The County makes a concurrency determination upon receipt of a development initial application. A development not deemed concurrent cannot be approved. Currently in the applicant's TSA, the following arterial units are in arrears, at ultimate capacity, or at risk of being in arrears:  None in arrears. See Critical List for units at risk
This development may generate more than 50 peak hour trips, hence a traffic scoping meeting is required
prior to application submittal. It was held in conjunction with this meeting, or It was held on
, or It will be scheduled and held prior to application submittal
Inadequate Road Condition (IRC): (SCC 30.66B.210)  Not applicable, as the development will generate less than three (3) PM peak hour trips.
When a development impacts an IRC with three or more PM peak hour trips, improvements to the road, adequate to remove the IRC classification will be required. At this time, the following IRCs have been identified in the applicant's TSA:
The intersection of Locust Way/15 <sup>th</sup> Ave W has been identified as an IRC.
Project File Number 09 108601 PS  Make Brown Signatures and Dates  Additional review date/initial:  Signatures and Dates  Applicant/Representative Date

Page 2 of 3

30.66B Presubmittal Form C-4213-1

# Frontage Improvements: (SCC 30.66B.410) All developments will be required to make frontage improvements along the parcel's frontage on any opened, constructed, and maintained public road. Standard frontage improvements are required along the project's frontage on the following road(s): Urban: Urban standards consist of ft. of paved roadway from the centerline of the right-of-way or roadway\*\* with concrete curb, gutter, planter and a Urban: foot sidewalk, or Rural standards consist of Rural: ft. of paved roadway from the centerline of the right-of-way or roadway\*\* with a Foot paved shoulder, or X Frontage improvements are not required. \*\*The location will be determined during review. Notes: The site has no frontage on any opened or unopened County right-of-way. Right-Of-Way Requirements: (SCC 30.66B.510) Development shall be required to dedicate, establish, or deed right-of-way to the county for road purposes when to do so is reasonably necessary as a direct result of a proposed development, for improvement, use or maintenance of the road system serving the development. The road fronting this development, is designated as a on the County Arterial Map. This roadway ultimately requires a right-of-way width of feet from centerline of right-of-way. Presently on the development's side of centerline feet exist. feet of additional right-of-way is required along the development's frontage. Thus, No right-of-way required. Notes Access and Transportation Circulation Requirements: (SCC 30.66B.420) All developments are required to provide for access and transportation circulation in accordance with the comprehensive plan and county development regulations. In addition, off-site pedestrian facilities for school children may be required for subdivisions and short subdivisions pursuant to RCW 58.17.110: All access will be from within the City of Shoreline. Mitigation for Impacts on State Highways and/ or City Streets (SCC 30.66B.710 and 720) Consistent with interlocal agreements mitigation may be required for impacts on state highways or city streets. Applicant must complete all of the following and include with initial submittal: WSDOT: Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) Checklist, TIA conforming to checklists, and mitigation offer. CITIES: Traffic Worksheets, Traffic Studies conforming to worksheets, and mitigation offers for: List Cities Comments/Other: Haul Route Agreement may be required. Allow 4 weeks for processing. Links to current code, rules, forms, and other relevant data are on the web at: http://www1.co.snohomish.wa.us/Departments/Public Works/Divisions/TES/ProgramPlanning/3066B/ Notes Project File Number 09 108601 PS Signatures and Dates Snohomish County Representative Applicant/Representative Additional review date/initial: Revised Effective Page 3 of 3 30.66B Presubmittal Form C-4213-1

TRAFFIC PRESUBMITTAL CONFERENCE REVIEW FORM (SCC 30.66B.020)

**Snohomish County** 

# Traffic Analysis Impact Checklist

Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Northwest Region

	Developments within Snohomish County  Attach this completed and signed form to the initial development application.	
	Contact: WSDOT Snohomish Area Developer Series	
	MS 240, WSDOT NW Region, PO Box 330310, Seattle, WA 98153-9710	
	Website: www.wsdot.wa.gov/regions/northwest/snohomish/developerservices/snokingdevelopmentsercieshome.htm	htm
	Section One (1)	
	. Development Name: Point Wells 09 108601 PS	
7	Development Location	
ie S	SW corner of Snohomish County; 3,500 housing units, 70,000 sq ft commercial, 15,000 sq ft retail & public park and/or 9 lot short subdivision	II
	a. Transportation Service Area (TSA):	
es.	. Vicinity Map Attached.	
7	. Development Type: Urban Center	
10	. Trip Generation:	
	a. Average daily traffic generated: See Traffic Study	
	b. PM Peak Hour traffic generated: See Traffic Study	
6.	Is PM Peak Hour traffic generated fifty (50) or greater?: X Yes No	
7	. Is the development likely to add ten (10) or more PM Peak-Hour trips to any LOS F or HAL	AL
	location within the development's TSA?: Yes No X	
	Detail:	
00	. If "yes" to Number 6 or 7:	
×	A comprehensive traffic study is required, consistent with County/WSDOT Traffic Impact Analysis Checklist Section (2).	
Sig	Signatures and date:	
Αp	Applicant/Representative: \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	_
Sn	Snohomish County Representative Mak (1-15 nown Date: 1/12/11	
4	trachanatio	

Attachments:

Vicinity Map

Report of LOS F or HAL locations, if appropriate Traffic Mitigation Offer to WSDOT:

3. 2.

Exhibit "A"

Interlocal Agreement WSDOT and Snohomish County

# Critical Arterial Units and Traffic Study Scoping Sheet for Large Developments

	としてつこここ	-		במסו המחו					
				Print Date	1/12/2011				
TSA	LINI	*	ROAD NAME	FROM	οπ	AM nb/eb	AM sb/wb	. PM nb/eb	PM sb/wb
മ	256	œ	RUNK FOSS RD/RITCHEY RD S	۲.9	S.MACHIAS RD		×		×
O	198	$\supseteq$	MARSH RD	OWELL LARIMER RD				AUIA	
ပ	235	$\supset$	AIRPORT WY	9 AVE SE	SNOHOMISH C/L			×	
ပ	353	$\supset$	AIRPORT WY	9 8	99 AVE SE		AUIA		AUIA
ပ	445	œ	SPRINGHETTI RD	ROADWAY AVE	AIRPORT WY	×	×	×	×
Ω	202	$\supseteq$	SEATTLE HILL RD	35 AVE SE	SR 96			AUIA	AUIA
Ω	*218	$\supset$	164 ST SW/SE	S NB ON/OFF RAMPS	MILL CR C/L	2	2	2	2
Ω	*218	⊃	164 ST SW	'NN C/L (Spruce Way)	I-5 SB ON/OFF RAMPS	S	ပ္	9	2
Ω	220	$\supset$	ALDERWOOD MALL PKWY	164 ST SW	LYNNWOOD C/L	ESSE EAVESON'S POPULOU P P TO SE		×	×
Ω	225	$\supset$	148 / 150 ST SW / JEFFERSON / MADISON WY	SR 99	ASH WY				×
Ω	227	$\supset$	BEVERLY PARK RD	SR 525	AIRPORT RD (EVT)				×
Ω	228	$\supset$	AIRPORT/128 ST SW	SR 99	SB Ramps I-5	×		×	×
	229	_	J 4 AVE W	128 ST SW	112 ST SW		×	×	×
	234	$\supset$	112 ST SW	BEVERLY PARK RD	AIRPORT RD (EVT)	×	×	×	×
	287	$\supset$	35 AVE W/36 AVE W	LYNWOOD C/L	164 ST SW	×	and the state of t		
Ω	293	$\supset$	GIBSON RD / 134 ST SW / 4 AVE W / ASH WY	SR 99	128 ST SW			×	×
Ω	298	$\supseteq$	Meridian AV / 3rd	SR 96	Meadow Place			×	
Ω	304	$\supseteq$	LARCH WAY	164 ST SW	178 ST SW	×	×	×	
Ω	336	긔	35 AVE SE	Q	168 ST SE		×	×	
Ω	352	$\supset$	4 AVE W		EVERETT C/L			×	×
	453	$\supset$	LINCOLN WAY	BEVERLY PARK RD	ADMIRALTY WAY	×	×	×	×
Ω	454	$\supseteq$	Meadow Road	164th ST SW	146th ST SW				×
Ш	207	$\supseteq$	35 AVE SE	GRANNIS RD	168 ST SE		×	×	
Ш	*211	$\supset$	SNOH-WOODINVILLE RD	KING CO LINE	SR 522 (EB RAMPS)	2	2	2	S
ш	262	$\supset$	1 180 ST SE	SR 9	BROADWAY AVE	×	×	×	×
Ш	420	$\supset$	YORK RD/35 AVE SE	SR 524	GRANNIS RD ·		×	×	
ш	214	$\supset$	LARCH WAY	MLT C/L	CYPRESS WY (S LEG)			×	
Щ	215	$\supset$	204 ST SW		28 AVE W		×	×	×
u.	278	$\supset$		LYNNWOOD C/L	BRIER C/L	×	×	×	×
ഥ	337	<u> </u>	YORK RD/35 AVE SE	SR 524	GRANNIS RD	A THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF	×	×	
	*	Λ	Signifies Arterial Unit Declared to be at "Ultimate Capacity" by the County Council	ed to be at "Ultimate C	apacity" by the County	/ Counc			
	###	۸	Red color sigifies arterial unit in arrears (AUIA)	in arrears (AUIA).					
						***************************************	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		and the state of t
				"X" means future LO Designation, "UC" me	"X" means future LOS analysis needed. "AUIA" means in arrears.** = Urban or Rural Designation. "UC" means at Ultimate Capacity.	" means	in arrears.	** = Urba	n or Rurai

May 1 May

# Critical Arterial Units and Traffic Study Scoping Sheet for Large Developments

NOTE: Per DPW Rule 4220; 100(4) an arterial units to Evaluate  NOTE: Per DPW Rule 4220; 100(4) an arterial unit to identified as critical or called out for analysis at the traffic scoping meeting is not considered to be within the scope of required future level-of-central analysis and val not be a factor in concurrency destinations except when the arterial unit is declared to be within the scope of required future level-of-central analysis and val not be a factor in concurrency destinations exceptions.  Project currently called  POINT WE Basis Percentage Trip Distribution was I was not reviewed, and tentatively looks OK** I needs prevision.  If Applicant agrees to use the versions* of the following in effect as of the date of this scoping meeting.  A refused unit and rates.  I required Comate Trip behaviores  I required Consultantly Sign and Date in This Space  PAPIGATION  PARTIC Consultantly Services Sign and Date in This Space  Papidrhing and Development Services Sign and Date in This Space
Madd-Brown 12-16-09 3-16-10
PLEASE NOTE: Attach this signed document to the traffic study with the submittal.